EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

Name of Portfolio Holder:	Councillor Neil Harden		
Portfolio:	Residents & Regulatory Services		
Date of Background Report:	11 July 2014		
Grant Aid Red	quests and Recommendations – May 2014		
Recommendations made and reasons:			
To approve grant requests made and recommended by the Grants Panel			
A financial position statement is included at the bottom of the report			
ANNUAL GRANT APPLICATIONS			
HIGHTOWN PRAETORIAN & CHURCHES HOUSING ASSOCIATION (DACORUM WOMENS REFUGE)			
Requested grant of £9,500 Overall value of project £9,500			
Reason: Provision of temporary accommodation for 6 families fleeing domestic violence			
Recommendation: To award a grant of £9,500			
Agree recommendation [] Request further information []			
TRING TOGETHER			
Requested grant of £10,000 Overall value of project £54,700			
Reason: Forum for organising large public events (up to 10,000 people) and local business networking			
Recommendation: To award a grant of £5,000 from Community Partnerships budget and £5,000 from Property Services budget			
Agree recommendation [] Request further information []			

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

Financial Analysis

Approving the recommendations a laid out in this report will have the following impact:

	Noted in this report	Unallocated funds prior to these recommendations
Capital Grants	0	£20,000
Grants requiring Portfolio Holder approval	19,500	£52,610
For Information Grants approved under delegated authority to Group Manager (People)		

Reports	considere	d:
---------	-----------	----

Individual Grant Application Forms

Officers / Councillors / Ward Councillors / Stakeholders Consulted:

Grants Panel

Monitoring Officer/S151 Officer Comments:

No further comments to add to the report

Deputy Section 151 Officer:

These grants can be funded within existing approved budget.

Implications:

Risk: there are no specific risks identified that relate to the allocation of funding as described in this report

Value for Money: the grant aid criteria looks to gain additional value from supporting community projects with a small amount of resource which yields maximum community benefit. It therefore provides good value for money. Many of the projects and activities are directed towards external funding sources to maximise the income to Dacorum

Options Considered and reasons for rejection:

Not to award the grants as detailed - This has been rejected because these grants meet the Council's criteria.

Portfolio Holders Signature:

Date:

Details of any interests declared and any dispensations given by the Standards Committee:

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

For Member Support	Officer use only
--------------------	------------------

Date Decision Record Sheet received from portfolio holder: 9 July 2014

Date Decision Published: 11 July 2014 Decision No: PH/030/14

Date of Expiry of Call-In Period: 18 July 2014

Date any Call-In received or decision implemented: